



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/705,105	11/02/2000	Daniel T. Bogard	SIG000053	4992	
7590 11/08/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
GARLICK HARRISON & MARKISON LLP			FLANDERS, ANDREW C		
P O BOX 160727 AUSTIN, TX 78716		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,	, , , , ,		2644		
			DATE MAILED: 11/08/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/705,105	BOGARD, DANIEL T.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u>.</u>	Andrew C. Flanders	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
,=::-	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 November 2000</u> .					
·=	Pa) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under L	.x parte quayre, 1905 O.D. 11, 4					
Disposition of Claims		· ·				
4)	2 <u>,34,36,41 and 46</u> is/are withdraw 3 <u>3,37-40 and 42-45</u> is/are rejecte	,				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 November 2000</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. Claims 5, 7, 20, 22, 34 and 36 are drawn to a first embodiment of a content processing device disclosed in figures 2, 5, 6 and 7.
- II. Claims 8 12, 15 17, 23 26, 29 31, 37 40 and 43-45 are drawn to a second embodiment of a content processing device disclosed in figures 3, 8 and 9.
- III. Claims 13, 18, 27, 32, 41 and 46 are drawn to a third embodiment of a content processing device disclosed in figure 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 – 4, 6, 14, 19, 21, 28, 33, 37 and 42 are generic.

Art Unit: 2644

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Kevin Smith on 09 September 2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group II, claims 8 - 12, 15 - 17, 23 - 26, 29 - 31, 37 - 40 and 43-45. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 5, 7, 13, 18, 20, 22,

Art Unit: 2644

27, 32, 34, 36, 41 and 46 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 – 4, 6, 9 10, 12, 14, 15, 17, 19, 21, 24, 26, 29, 31, 33, 35, 38, 40, 42, 43 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokozawa (U.S. Patent 5,420,739) in view of Allen (U.S. Patent 4,442,540).

Regarding Claims 1, 14, 19 and 28, Yokozawa discloses:

A device for processing content data (abstract), the device comprises:

data processing circuitry operable coupled to process data received from an external content display device to produce presentation information (the display and headphones, Fig. 1 elements 221 and 110 displays track and timing information from the portable audio device; Fig. 1 element 200);

content processing module operably coupled to process content data for presentation on the external content display device based on the presentation

Art Unit: 2644

information (i.e. the portable audio player plays music through the headphones depending on the track selected, which is displayed; Fig. 1 elements 200, 221 and 110).

Yokozawa does not disclose a transceiving module operable coupled to the data processing circuitry and the content processing module, wherein the transceiving module separates modulated data from the content data, wherein the transceiving module retrieves the data from the modulated data, and where the transceiving module introduces the content data into a channel coupling the device to the external content display device.

The combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen discloses:

transceiving module operable coupled to the data processing circuitry and the content processing module (i.e. the device in Allen disclosed in figure 1 is attached between the player and the display/headphones and operates in both ways, sending data from the audio player to the display/headphones and sending data from the controls to the audio player in Yokozawa),

wherein the transceiving module separates the modulated data from the content data, wherein the transceiving module retrieves the data from the modulated data (i.e. at the receiver the speech and data signals are sent to an A/D converter and then through a time varying filter to separate the voice and data signals which are sent to their appropriate locations; col. 4 lines 19 – 23 in Allen; the locations in this instance being the display 221 and the headphones 110 in Yokozawa).

Art Unit: 2644

and wherein the transceiving module introduces the content data into a channel coupling the device to the external content display device (i.e. the voice signal is then sent to its appropriate location, in the combination, the headphones).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the system for combining audio and data for transmission over a single line as taught by Allen to the system portable audio system with a detachable control unit taught by Yokozawa. One would have been motivated to do so in order to efficiently transmit voice and data over a single cable avoiding the user of band-switching techniques thereby maximizing the audio's intelligibility; col. 2 lines 35 – 40 in Allen.

Regarding Claims 33 and 42, in addition to the elements stated above regarding independent claims 1, 14, 19 and 28, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen fails to disclose a processing module with a memory operably coupled to the processing module, wherein the memory includes operation instructions that cause the processing modules to carryout the features of claims 1, 14, 19 and 28.

However, Examiner takes official notice that it is notoriously well known to implement methods such as the ones disclosed in Applicant's claims 1, 14, 19 and 28 on a programmable processor. One would have been motivated to do so in order to costs when manufacturing and provide more features in a smaller package.

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding Claim 2, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claim 1, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen further discloses:

wherein the content data comprises at least one of: audio data, video data, text data, and multimedia data (i.e. the system is an audio player; Fig. 1 in Yokozawa).

Regarding Claim 3, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claim 1, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen further discloses:

wherein the data comprises at least one of digitized audio, digitized video, and incoming remote control data (i.e. the audio player is controlled by the remote control; Fig. 1 element 217 in Allen).

Regarding **Claim 4**, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claim 1, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen further discloses:

wherein the remote control data comprises at least one of: volume adjust data, stop data, play data, pause data, rewind data, fast forward data, next track data, channel up/down data, bass boost data, record data, intensity data, contrast data, security access data, and telephone access code data (col. 7 lines 20 – 25 in Allen).

Regarding Claims 6, 21 and 35, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claims 1, 19 and 33, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen further discloses:

wherein the transceiving module comprises:

Art Unit: 2644

high pass filter to separate the content data from the modulated data (i.e. the data and voice signals are separated by the filter and sent to their appropriate locations; col. 4 lines 18 – 23 in Allen);

gain module operable coupled to provide gain to the modulated data to produce gain modulated data (i.e. controls such as volume; col. 7 lines 16 – 27; in Yokozawa);

data extraction circuit operable coupled to retrieve the data form the gain modulated data (i.e. the headphones 110 and control unit 217 receive the amplified signal and display numbers and play analog audio accordingly; Fig. 1 in Yokozawa).

Regarding Claim 9, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claim 1, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen further discloses:

wherein the data processing circuitry further comprises:

display information module operable coupled to provide outgoing display data to the transceiving module (Fig. 1 elements 221 and 110 displays track and timing information from the portable audio device; Fig. 1 element 200).

Regarding Claims 10, 15, 24 and 38, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claims 9, 14, 19 and 33, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen further discloses:

wherein the transceiving module further comprises:

Art Unit: 2644

data modulator operably coupled to modulate the outgoing display data to produce modulated outgoing display data (i.e. modem 7 modulates the data signal; Fig. 1 in Allen); and

combining circuit operably connected to combine the content data and the modulated display data to produce transmit data that is provided to the external content display device (i.e. the data and audio is combined and output at element 14 in Fig. 1 of Allen).

Regarding Claims 12, 17, 26, 31, 40 and 45, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claims 10, 15, 24, 28, 38 and 42, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen further discloses:

high pass filter operably coupled to the channel, wherein the high pass filter filters the modulated display data to produce filtered data, wherein the filtered data is provided on the channel (Fig. 1 element 3 of Allen); and

high frequency isolation module operably coupled to the channel, wherein the high frequency isolation module substantially attenuates the filtered data and passes the content data substantially untenanted such that the content data is isolated from the modulated display data (Fig. 1 element 3 of Allen).

Regarding Claims 29 and 43, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claims 28 and 42, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen further discloses:

wherein the combining the display data and the content data further comprises:

Art Unit: 2644

modulating the display data at a rate that is substantially higher than the rate of the content data to produce modulated display data (i.e. the data signal is sent in an upper portion of the channel bandwidth above that of the speech signal; col. 4 lines 3 – 7).

Claims 8, 11, 16, 23, 25, 30, 37, 39 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokozawa (U.S. Patent 5,420,739) in view of Allen (U.S. Patent 4,442,540) and in further view of Barclay (U.S. Patent 6,850,55).

Regarding Claims 8, 23 and 37, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claims 6, 21 and 35, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen fails to disclose the limitations of the data extraction circuit claimed in claim 8.

Barclay discloses:

clock recovery circuit operably coupled to generate a clock signal from the gain modulated data (i.e. encoding may be employed in Fig. 4 to facilitate synchronization and or regeneration of a clock signal; col. 8 lines 19 – 21);

a correlator operably coupled to receive the clock signal, wherein the correlator detects patterns of the data contained within the modulated data to produce correlated data (i.e. the correlator unit outputs positive and negative peaks when there is a match; col. 5 lines 29 - 31); and

Art Unit: 2644

a phase comparator operably coupled to receive the correlated data and to produce therefrom the data (i.e. the peaks output from the correlator are fed to a message regeneration circuit which coverts the peaks into binary signals).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Barclay's message regeneration method on the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen. One would have been motivated to do so in order to efficiently send and receive modulated data from the player to the control unit.

Regarding Claims 11, 16, 25, 30, 39 and 44, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claims 6, 15, 24, 29, 38 and 43, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen fails to disclose the limitations of the data modulator claimed in claim 8.

Barclay discloses:

a pseudo random code generator operably coupled to produce a random code (i.e. Fig. 4 element 40); and

a modulator operably coupled to receive the random code and the outgoing display data to produce the modulated display data (i.e. the microprocessor receives the information from the message regeneration circuit and outputs it to display 49; Fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Barclay's message regeneration method on the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen. One would have been motivated to do so in order to efficiently send and receive modulated data from the player to the control unit.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Barclay (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2005/0053122), Moses (U.S. Patent 5,473,631) and Bourcet (U.S. Patent 6,151,578).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew C. Flanders whose telephone number is (571) 272-7516. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

acf

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 12

Art Unit: 2644

Page 13